

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_85409
Title of the Manuscript:	Particularization of the sequence of spacetime/intrinsic spacetime geometries and associated sequence of theories in metric force fields in the four-world picture to the gravitational field I
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- There is no section for your results in the manuscript. Provide it clearly and briefly. 2- The main conclusions of the study should be presented in a short conclusions section, which may stand alone as a subsection of results and discussion section. 3- Remove the hyphens from some words in article because they are not correct. 4- There are many words beginning with capital letter that mention in the middle of the sentence, please, could you check all the paper. 5- There are many abbreviations for the word figure. Please, could you write them perfectly. 6- Modify the formula (Fig.) and (Figure) when you refer to all Figures in your paper. 7- Replace the word because by since in all your paper. 8- Modify the formula (eq.) and (equation) when you refer to all equations in your paper. 9- There are many abbreviations which are not mention. What does it mean for example (w. r. t.), please, could you write them perfectly. 10-The title of paper is very long. So, I suggest that you have to reduce it. 11- There are many keywords. So, I suggest reducing them. 12- Format and font, both of them should be the same for all paper. 13- The number of pages in the paper is a lot. So, I suggest that you have to reduce it. 14- What are the most important programs that were used in this study? Please, could you mention the program has been used. 15- There is no section for preliminaries (required definitions and results). So, I suggest that you must add it. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alaa Mahmood Farhan Al-Jumaili
Department, University & Country	University of Anbar, Iraq