

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_93331
Title of the Manuscript:	HIV infection in patients with tuberculosis followed and treated at the Oyem Regional Hospital Center (ORHC) : A 2-year retrospective study in Northern Gabon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• A major revision is required.• Various technical questions are present in the manuscript to be answered and grammatical changes are needed.• Please find the attached manuscript with my suggestions.	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical corrections can make the script more interesting. You could think about renaming the table titles and terminologies.	
Optional/General comments	I appreciate the efforts made by the authors. Both, the topic and data are very good however I think authors should seek help in writing the manuscript from someone more experienced in writing or in other words with a better English writer. This is completely understandable that English is not the first language in the country of the authors so I would especially like to mention that authors should not get demotivated by critical comments. Also collaborating with a mentor could be a good approach to make this paper publishable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Salman Munir Malik
Department, University & Country	University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Italy