

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_93022
Title of the Manuscript:	Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP) Techniques and Geospatial Technologies for Groundwater Potential Zone Mapping
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract should not be more than 250 words.</p> <p>Once the appearance of abbreviated words in full detail is urgent please recheck the text for some words like GIS, etc.</p> <p>The reference writing is incorrect in some cases like Additionally, [38]. Also [39] , etc. You have add the author name. Please correct in text citation at whole text.</p> <p>Use figure instead of map and consider correct numbering of figures in the text.</p> <p>The correct format of K1 and K2 is as K_1 and K_2. Please correct all symbols.</p> <p>Please define all symbols and variables individually.</p> <p>List 1; presenting table 1; the content is vague for reader. Please add dimensions to main variables in table and explain how did you arrange the table?</p> <p>Please use table and remove list from text and consider correct numbering the tables.</p> <p>Tables 1-7 can be table 1.</p> <p>The main points of table 9 should be explained. Meanwhile, table 9 can be result of your research and should be accommodated in the results and discussion chapter.</p> <p>All figures and tables must be referred in the text.</p> <p>Where? Figure 2: Map of the categories of soil features. Add the addresses for all figures.</p> <p>Methodology chapter needs to be defragmented. A coherent presentation is requested.</p> <p>You can set up a separate literature review chapter before methodology chapter. By the current format the results of reviewed literature in introduction must be presented in the results and discussion chapter to prove accuracy and precision of results.</p> <p>In figure 10; please explain how did you validate points according to last step of flow-chart (figure 1 in methodology)?</p> <p>What was the limitations and difficulties of models applied? Please add them to conclusion chapter.</p> <p>Please notify the future research direction in conclusion chapter.</p> <p>Please add main key point in conclusion chapter especially achievements of table 9.</p> <p>What was the managerial application of results? Add to conclusion chapter.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Malek Hassanpour
Department, University & Country	Osmania University, India