

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_86083
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Exponential Type Ratio Estimator for the Population Mean in Systematic Sampling
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The related article is well organized. Its novelty is clearly expressed. I think it will contribute to the relevant field. Some minor comments are as follows. In order to make the results stronger, it would be more appropriate to apply the proposed estimators to a one more real data set. In addition the study should be revised according to the journal format and proofreading should be done to eliminate grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haydar Koç
Department, University & Country	Çankırı Karatekin University, Turkey