

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_91641
Title of the Manuscript:	Insights into the In-Vivo Antiplasmodial Activity of Trisdimethylamino Pyrimidine Derivative in Plasmodium berghei-Infected Mouse Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No compulsory Revisions	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- I have found the topic is very interesting and the article is written in good language.- The data are presented in the form of tables only. I believe the authors may add figures or charts to represent their data.- The author did not mention which program was used to draw the compound under investigation.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The article is original and display an innovation by using recent research techniques.• The title informative and relevant• References are relevant, recent, referenced correctly and appropriate key studies are included.• The research question is properly outlined.• All the study methods are reliable, valid and reproducible• I have found the text in result section added to the data presented. Also, statistically significant results are presented clearly. Practically meaningful results are understood clearly.• The results are discussed from multiple angles and placed into context.• The conclusions give a clear answer of the study aim. The authors give a clear conclusions supported by results and references. Also, the study limitations give opportunities for future research.• I have found the study design answered the aim appropriately and added new information. <p>This is an engaging article with robust structure and logical display of related data that increase our knowledge of the subject.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeinab Abd Elkhalek Ali Elshahid
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt