

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_92122
Title of the Manuscript:	Description of Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices of Mothers who have Toddlers against Diarrhea
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - not mention why the knowledge, attitude, and practice are important for the mobility and mortality of diarrhea children - the last part of the introduction should remove the question <p>The research method is not clear enough:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This manuscript needs to indicate the research procedure of the data collection such as the consent process, and the type of data collection (face-to-face interview or self-administrative,) - Please add the definition and how to classify in detail the knowledge, attitude, and practice level -: Please state if this project received approval from the ethical committee or not. <p>The Result and discussion should make a separate section</p> <p>The result section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The way to present age can only describe the average (mean age) and max-min is enough. - Please combine the socio-demographic data into one table - Please show more of the analysis data related to attitude, knowledge, and practice with sociodemographic and the severity of diarrheal in children (if any) which can be interesting - in the manuscript show only the association between knowledge and Prektek? (Please explain what Prektek means?) - the discussion you state the association between age and knowledge but there are no data on age-associated with knowledge in your result. So, discuss the data that you show and don't discuss the data that is not found in your manuscript 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No line and Page number, difficult to indicate which text should be revise - The literature review should move to annexe 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please show other analysis table if any 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kethmany Ratsavong
Department, University & Country	Lao Tropical and Public Health Institute, Laos