

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_91897
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence Of Smoking and its Associated Factors Among Indonesian Women: A Simple Systematic Literature Review and Alternative Management
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It is necessary to describe in detail the methodology of the literature review, and to state exactly what the inclusion and exclusion criteria are.2. Change the word systematic review to literature review in the title and the entire paper, because this article is not a systematic review and does not have a research question, nor is it methodologically done as a systematic review.3. Table 1 shows 14 papers, and a total of 15 were selected for analysis.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Fix all typos, technical and misspelled words in the English language.2. I suggest writing the words cross-sectional study with a hyphen between the words, because that is the established way of writing3. References 54 and 55 are missing from the bibliography4. Some references are misquoting in the bibliography	
Optional/General comments	Overall, this is a well-written review paper and can be published with recommended revisions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diana Aranza
Department, University & Country	University of Split, Croatia