

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_91634
Title of the Manuscript:	CHEMICAL CONTAMINANTS ASSESSMENT INFLUENCING THE PROPER FUNCTIONING OF THE WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT IN A BREWING FACTORY:case of SABC Yaounde -Cameroon
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract needs to be shorter (it is so long) - The framework of abstract is not followed (starting in order with a principal, then brief introduction, referring to the important parts of methods and brief conclusion) - Remove some boring results in abstract, it is not necessary to use in this part - The conclusion of abstract is so general and never shows what is obtained - Part of 1.2 Method is very short and needs to be more clear - There are not the methods of measurements in the laboratory - In part of "1.2.1 Physical quality of wastewater", it is better to use a table for comparison - All the results of the "2.2.2 Chemical quality of wastewater from the Yaoundé SABC" can be demonstrate on two charts and comparison in a paragraph - Some references are not in the text (it is not acceptable) 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Using reference into texts is not ordinary and make confusion: Dahou and Brek; (2013)- please use this manner (Dahou and Brek; 2013) if journal format let you. - Using "Pareto diagram" in introduction makes confusing condition. Please use this when the diagram is immediately after or before it. - Figure 2 is blurring a lot - Figure 3 is so simple and no needs to show on the graph - Figure 4 is blurring - Table 1 needs the reference of the laboratory - Figure 5 is blurring - Figures 9 and 10 are blurring a lot 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Whole text should be modified and improved by a native translator - Font needs to be modified specially in abstract and references - Some parts of text are not based on format 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mostafa Biglarfadafan
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic of Milan, Italy