

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_88508
Title of the Manuscript:	Waste Management in the Oil and Gas Industry in Guyana: A Qualitative Study
Type of the Article	It is not a research article because I has described in invalid methodology

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>This article is also positive if the article is followed by scientific methodology.</b></p> <p><b>Note:1. Research article has no abbreviation and acronym</b></p> <p><b>2. Introduction is not designed as scientific way.</b></p> <p><b>2. The Author has applied Chicago research manual style.</b></p> <p><b>3. Writing format will be cleared.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Author must give up Abbreviation and Acronym</li> <li>2. Introduction will be:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Aims and Objective</li> <li>b. Research question</li> <li>c. Research Limitation</li> <li>d. Study of the rationale</li> <li>e. Review of related literature</li> <li>f. Research Methodology</li> <li>g. Acknowledgement</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. Discussion               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a.</li> <li>b.</li> <li>c.</li> <li>d.</li> </ol> </li> <li>4. Results</li> <li>5. Conclusion               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Summary</li> <li>b. Importance of the study</li> <li>c. Recommendation</li> </ol> </li> <li>5. References</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Grammar is OK. Here has no plagiarism	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Author must follow my comments if he redesigns them, it will be publishable	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kohinoor Hossain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dargapur Fazil Degree Madrasha, Bangladesh</b>