

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_91167
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of heads of secondary schools' managerial skills on students' academic performance in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Topic: the topic should reflect the essence of the work, by reframing it to suit the body of the work appropriately.</p> <p>-proposed topic: On the Impact of Secondary Schools Management team on the students' academic performances in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania.</p> <p>Abstract: Poor grammatical construction, wrong choice of words and indexing and numbering of the paragraph.</p> <p>Keywords: keywords should contain at least four words and should look like, ex: School heads, etc.</p> <p>Introduction: Try and address the gap you are trying to fill in the literature.</p> <p>Literature: the literatures cited are very few and out of data.</p> <p>Methodology: the method of sampling was not appropriately applied. What facilitated the mode of the survey used? How were the target population sampled or choose? The sample chosen were not mentioned. Please look at the appropriate technique to choose a target population and the sample size. A sample of the questionnaire should be made available in the appendixes.</p> <p>Results: the A, D, etc. abbreviation in table one were not cited anywhere in the work. The test cannot be limited to Conbach's Alpha alone. Charts and graphs can equally play a role in the presentation of the results.</p> <p>Ref: reference should be arranged properly.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript requires a major corrections.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oluchukwu Chukwuemeka Asogwa
Department, University & Country	Federal University Ndufu Alike, Nigeria