

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_91167
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of heads of secondary schools' managerial skills on students' academic performance in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The title "Impact of heads of secondary schools' managerial skills on students' academic performance in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania" bears the term IMPACT and it is know that impact should be mentioned if something has been established for 5 or more years. Since demographic profile was not established and years of services as well as educational background which I highly prefer it I would like to corelate the managerials skills of an employee.</p> <p>Limited literature review is presented, it is suggested to establish the problem statement and support them with related literature establishing the significance of the conduct of this research.</p> <p>Questionnaire validation was not presented, was it adopted or self-made questionnaire?</p> <p>In the result section it is recommended to cite some articles that proves or even contradict with the findings. There should be more discussion of each result.</p> <p>The conclusion should be based on the findings and should enumerate the challenges as found out. Also, it is recommended to provide recommendations which are usually drawn from the conclusion</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Abstract word count is above the required word count.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some grammatical errors were found.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mia V. Villarica
Department, University & Country	Laguna State Polytechnic University, Philippines