

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_92938
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN OF AUTOMATIC DOOR LOCK BASED ON ATMEGA328P MICROCONTROLLER WITH MLX90614ESF DCI AND HC-SR04 SENSORS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Please suggest change of the title as appropriate, and how should authors organize their paper 2- Abstract is too long, make the abstract as short as possible (but not too short) 3- Introduction section: explain the context of the study and state the precise objective. An Introduction should contain the following three (3) parts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the art of the field the report is about. - The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient. - The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! - Authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. 4- You should improve your analyzing and present comparison of your results to those obtained in similar studies 5- Finally, improve the semantics and the writing, polishing the English as well (e.g., avoid "Last but not least" expressions, in order to use concise language 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The purpose of this study was to keep someone from entering the room due to high body temperature systems but some extra short comments (as suggested above) would further increase its scientific value	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamzah Hadi Qasim
Department, University & Country	Iraq University College, Iraq