

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_92921
Title of the Manuscript:	Obtaining Pedestrian Interest Regions Using Experimental Methods
Type of the Article	Method Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The abstract can include some data to support the findings.</li> <li>2. The title should be more specific to state what is meant by pedestrian interest regions.</li> <li>3. The methodology should include the experimental set up image of the tested participant with the tools used.</li> <li>4. The results and discussion section is too brief. I encourage the author to discuss the results in a more variegated manner.</li> <li>5. Is the range of vision affected by height of participants/tested?</li> <li>6. Would the author be able to present the data in the types of vision described in the paper. These descriptions can increase the scope in the results section as the data is collected anyway.</li> <li>7. References are too outdated. Please provide current references.</li> <li>8. Problem statement has not been stated clearly as in where is the specific or general usage areas where the data for this type of research is needed. How does the development of an algorithm help in pedestrian movement? Are pedestrians expected to wear a sensory gadget that is synced to their eye movement and get notified for potential collision?</li> <li>9. Since the title speaks about experimental method, therefore the experimental procedures have to be soundly described and shown in detail.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There are some grammatical errors present in the paper.</li> <li>2. Formatting issues throughout the article is present as well.</li> <li>3. Not all the references have been cited in the article.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kausalyah Venkatason
Department, University & Country	School of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia