

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_92747
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Current status of Metal Bipolar Plate Materials and Stamping and Forming for Fuel Cells
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear Editor</p> <p>I reviewed the submitted manuscript (Ms_JERR_92747) in details . I think after minor revision according to below comments , it can be published in the Journal of Engineering Research and Reports :</p> <p>Q1 Journal of Engineering Research and Reports) please improve the abstract with the prominent characteristics if possible . off course, pay attention not longer than the limited words by the journal policy</p> <p>Q2: please the introduction will be started by this sentence or like and cited references: " Electrochemical devices such as fuel cells, capacitors, batteries , sensors [Refs: A-D] , etc , are the technologies belonging to electrochemistry which they use chemical energy to produce electrical energy in conversion energy aspect "</p> <p>Cited [A]: Journal of food composition and analysis 29 (2), 144-150 [B]: Microchemical Journal 163, 105716 [C] New Journal of Chemistry 41 (19), 11335-11341 [D] Microchemical Journal 157, 105005</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arash Babakhanian
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran