

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_92743
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of Magnetic Fluids and Their Applications to Vibration Reduction
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In the abstract section quantified comparative result were absent</li><li>2. Keywords should be in alphabetically order, in brief and required more</li><li>3. Title should include research methodology and result outcome based</li><li>4. Abbreviation and nomenclature should be required</li><li>5. Figure citation should be compulsory in the manuscript</li><li>6. All equations used should be numbered</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Some highlights of the manuscript required</li><li>2. Formatting of letter required in the whole manuscript</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Grammatical mistake and the papers needs language editing</li><li>2. Comparative data by the different researchers missing</li><li>3. Reference should be required latest (past 10 years only) and in proper journal format</li><li>4. Conclusion should be point wise</li></ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yogesh Agrawal
Department, University & Country	Sagar Institute of Research & Technology, India