

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_92158
Title of the Manuscript:	Refinery Emergency Shutdown System Based on High Safety Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	In the Abstract Author has written well but the nominal mistake is that (Not nominal but it is a major non-conformity is that) "safety issues.....to ... should be carried out" and "The issues of ....to..... was considered. are in a different tense. The author has to modify it. In key words, the Author has not used genuine keywords also the research keyword is two times used; research and well research is not different. As per my opinion authors have to modify and re-write all keywords which are pacific to research work.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The content for the introduction is proper and well written by the author.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vivekpuri G Goswami
Department, University & Country	India