

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_92141
Title of the Manuscript:	Engine Performance and Exhaust Emissions from Sandbox (Hura crepitans) Seed Methyl Ester
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No revision is essential. I accept the article in its original form.	
Minor REVISION comments	Justify why B50 is selected after B25 but not B30.	
Optional/General comments	Author has significantly evaluated 4S-diesel engine performance with 6 volume variations Of Sandbox methyl ester using bsfc, BP, Thermal efficiency, CO, NOx & HC emissions. The approach of the work is appreciable as he took 6 testing parameters into account. The need of biofuels is essential for 4S-engine survival in future generations and the research must go on using different blends of bio seeds.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhijith Vaidya S
Department, University & Country	Adichunchanagiri Institute of Technology, Visvesvaraya Technological University, India