

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_91536
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE SATISFACTION LEVEL OF THE IDP WITH THE BASIC IDP FACILITIES PROVIDED
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No major revision to be addressed. However, Section on Literature Review contains data and tables. This must be considered as Empirical Review. Possibly, Literature can be extended. Summary or conclusion needs not be in bulleted form. Recommendations must come BEFORE in this article.	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: Displace, add d Slight corrections in vocabulary including punctuations Paragraphs must be separated with a line	
Optional/General comments	Strength of paper: Arguments on displaced persons. Approaches to address promiscuity. Good data support.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nirmal Kumar Betchoo
Department, University & Country	Universite des Mascareignes, Mauritius