

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_91064
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of remote monitoring system for lithium battery operating status
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I believe that the system presented by the authors for monitoring the parameters of Lithium-Ion batteries is too simplistic and does not deal with real-time operation. A professional data acquisition system should be considered.	
Minor REVISION comments	Improve the quality of the experimental results.	
Optional/General comments	The practical results presented are not conclusive. The authors do not properly master this field.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sorin Ioan Deaconu
Department, University & Country	Politehnica University Timisoara, Romania