

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_92542
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimal Control of 3.5 MW Solar Hybrid Power Plant of Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University Makurdi Benue State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original research
Date received	September 28, 2022
Date due	October 02, 2022

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>This paper is presented in a clear streamlined approach and is well referenced. It is written adequately and has a very good sequence of presentation of facts with evidence supported by relevant citations.</p> <p>The abstract is adequate though needing some editing [see suggestions in the attached edited Manuscript]</p> <p>Keywords are fine</p> <p>The Introduction and background are appropriate and clear and validated with enough references!!! It creates a clear base for the research. though needing some editing [see suggestions in the attached edited Manuscript]</p> <p>The methodology section is clear and nicely addressed. All technical details are described well following a logical flow with ease to follow.</p> <p>Results and Discussion are adequate. All facts are validated with references... A fact that supports reliability and validity....</p> <p>Conclusion is clear</p> <p>References are adequate</p> <p>Proofreading and editing are needed [see suggestions in the attached edited Manuscript]</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon