

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_92464
Title of the Manuscript:	Temperature dependence on transient hydrodynamic gravity driven flow down an inclined plane
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1-Authors can apply my proposed table in the page 3 of them manuscript to all mathematical equations.</p> <p>2-I think no need they use first letter of "sin" and "cos" as capital letter.</p> <p>3-I think using u' and x' and etc. will be confusing for readers. Better they replace u_1 and x_1 and ... instead of them.</p> <p>4-All "Equation" and "Equations" in the text need to change "equation" and "equation". (No need you use Capital letter for equation.)</p> <p>5-When your new line starting with Capital letter don't forget to use stop point "." before it.</p> <p>6- Quality of "Figures" are very low. They can replace high quality Figures.</p> <p>7-I cannot see any newly published paper inside them references list! Just I can see one paper from 2018! Authors need to update the Reference list.</p> <p>8- I think manuscript need to English grammar checking.</p> <p>9- For more comments please see the manuscript. I putted few comments on the manuscript.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarkhosh Seddighi Chaharborj
Department, University & Country	Carleton University, Canada