Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Energy Research and Reviews**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JENRR_91089**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INFLUENCE OF NUMBER OF FLAT LOCALLY-FABRICATED TURBINE BLADES ON THE PERFORMANCE OF A SIMPLIFIED PICO HYDROPOWER SYSTEM**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	My Questions and Comments are list below: 1. The work is well written and provides good results, which are properly presented, but their discussion can be deepened. 2. Author has used keyword "locally-fabricated turbine" but this keyword not used in rest of manuscript. Reason? **3.** Author has copied the some figures from the google link. He/she is advised to draw these diagram using design tool.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Overall manuscript match to the journal criteria so I would like to accept after the above comments modifications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Vijay Kumar**
Department, University & Country	**Abes Institute of Technology, India**