Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Economics, Management and Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEMT_92622**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INSECURITY AND PERFORMANCE OF BUSINESS ACTIVITIES IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA.**
Type of the Article	**Original research papers**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Limit the abstract in just 1 page 2. In Table 1 Dimension of Human security – the Source: Authors' compilation, (2021)- my question is, where did the author compile it from?.....if from past literature, then should put the name of past researchers 3. In the methodology, revise and rephrase the sentence (for example, 'According to the participants, when we raised the question, practically all the respondents although interviewed differently at different occasions almost averred that they cannot help themselves.' – don't use 'we', this is not appropriate for academic writing. 4. Please revise the list of references, need to be as according to the format and consistently written throughout the whole paper	
Minor REVISION comments	In the methodology section, its better to write down R1, R2 as in referencing to the respondent (rather than write the name of respondent in bold letter)	
Optional/General comments	In the conclusion section, suggested to make it in one paragraph and not in point form and also not to put citation (because the conclusion is the author's effort to sum all the finding in his/her own words and thought)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)***
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Sulzakimin Mohamed**
Department, University & Country	**Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia**