

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_92087
Title of the Manuscript:	Production and price cycles in beef cattle in Rondônia state, Brazilian Amazon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author needs to consider the technological development occurred between 1995 to 2020 in the analysis model and description of parameters. The study period is long with possibility of lot of technological development and other changers that could impact the production and pricing apart from monetary factors only. <i>The number of cattle in the state of Rondônia increased by 5.36% between 1995 and 2020, from 3.9 million cattle in 1995 to 14.8 in 2020 – Author needs to recheck this statement if is collect.</i> Table 2 shows the cattle herd growth in the eight geographic Rondônia microregions. From 1995 to 2015 – Again the author will need to revisit this paragraph The table shows from 1995 to 2020, even the explanation in this paragraph needs to be much clear unlike the way it is now	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	In the map of Brazil author could summaries the description of study area by using graduated colors to show the herd size/population and importance of each state in cattle production, likely for the municipalities of the study state to be much clear and easy to follow and minimize wording	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel Pius Mdetele
Department, University & Country	Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania