

Entrepreneurship and SMEs: A Bibliometric Analysis Amidst COVID-19 Crisis

ABSTRACT

Purpose- The remarkable concept of entrepreneurship has attracted scholars' attention for its relevance to small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Entrepreneurship and SMEs (E&SMEs) have been globally acknowledged as instruments for achieving economic growth and development as well as employment creation. Based on bibliometric and distance-based visualization of similarities (VOS) analysis, the purpose of this paper is to outline a broad-spectrum perspective of the structure of research in entrepreneurship and SMEs, identifying the most prominent journals, country contributions, top-cited authors and articles in this field, as well, reporting the standard bibliometric indicators such as the growth of publications, authorship patterns, collaboration, and prolific authors.

Design/methodology/approach- The paper uses the data from Scopus online database as of June 2022. Based on 'key-terms' search results, 287 valid documents were obtained for further analysis using VOS viewer software and Harzing's Publish or Perish for citation metrics and analysis.

Findings- Sustainability (Switzerland) Journal and Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies are the most relevant journals in the field. Among many authors, concerning the frequency of citations, Fairlie's (2020) article emerges as the most cited article with 85 cites and an average of 42.5 citations per year, followed by Brown and Rocha's (2020) article emerges 80 cites and an average of 40 citations per year. The United States ranked as the most contributor country in productivity with 29 (10.10%) published documents.

Originality/value- This paper contributes to entrepreneurship and SMEs amidst COVID-19 crisis research by providing a global perspective on the concept's investigation using bibliometric data and graphical networks.

Keywords: Entrepreneurship, SMEs, COVID-19, Scopus Database, VOS Network Analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since many nations have been affected by several crises, a lot of research has been done on crises during the last few decades [1]. Many researchers have offered numerous interpretations of the crisis concept, such as intense and unpredictable occurrences that endanger organizational survival [2-6]. On top of that, since the start of the global financial crisis at the end of 2007, studies of the crisis have grown increasingly in the academic community. The global economic crisis has created several challenges, including high unemployment and slow economic growth, and entrepreneurship has developed as a solution to these issues. Accordingly, many nations are implementing policies that encourage entrepreneurship as a tool to help their economy recover from the recent recession [1]. However, launching a new company is a complex undertaking at the best of times. Consequently, during times of economic hardship, some people choose to become self-employed due to a dearth of alternative routes into the workforce [7].

Furthermore, entrepreneurship and new businesses can benefit from (beneficial effects) or suffer from (adverse effects) of a crisis. To begin with, "suffer from" the adverse effects reduce business activity and profits, impacts the individual personalities of business owners, and allows certain businesses to survive [8]. On the other hand, "benefit from" the beneficial effect of crises, such as the way entrepreneurs can drive the development of new opportunities and resources,

fill gaps in existing ones and encourage the introduction of novel services and products [9]. Nevertheless, entrepreneurship can influence crises as well. Recent studies have demonstrated that entrepreneurship can mitigate crisis effects and help revive national economies and regional growth after a disaster [10]. Having said that, adopting new strategies or taking innovative approaches can enhance the entrepreneurial environment and encourage economic recovery. However, in many countries, a lack of an entrepreneurial culture, as well as financial and resources limits, are considered substantial barriers to establishing a business; thus, governmental actions and support to increase small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) access to capital and resources is a critic to enhance entrepreneurial environments [11]. SMEs play a critical part in today's business system as national economic engines that shape the backbone of the economy in both developed and developing economies [12]. Consequently, there has been growing awareness of entrepreneurship and SMEs' significant contribution to a country's economic, social, and environmental outline [13].

Regrettably, the novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) economic shock is unsurpassed in its intensity and complexity. COVID-19 prevalence has exposed SMEs to an enormous economic threat. Thus, many small businesses have resorted to entrepreneurial practices, including updating their business models, services, and products to fulfil basic changes in consumer demand in order to remain in business [14] and rehabilitating resources available to minimize the societal impact of the crisis [10]. As a result of the economic downturn, several new business owners have entered the market and implemented innovative approaches for retaining and attracting clients [15].

With this trend, an ever-increasing body of research has been done on entrepreneurship and SMEs, which offers a valuable focus for concerted scholarly research. Accordingly, examining the nature and dynamics of published articles is a common technique among scholars who work in the fields of bibliography and bibliometrics. As able to track the evolution of the observed topic of interest [16], journal publication activity, or scientific discipline [17]. Pritchard [18] defined bibliometric as "*the application of statistical and mathematical methods to books and other media of communication.*" A bibliometric study is commonly used to evaluate the quality and quantity of published documents to identify patterns in a specific research topic. In other words, by conducting in-depth research on the characteristics of published literature, bibliometric analysis can reveal the academic strength of an institution and the potential of citation/co-citation models, thereby encouraging the investigation and clarification of the main work contents and progress of a certain discipline. Aside from simple numerical and statistical calculations, contemporary bibliometric approaches also take into account the weight of leading scholars and journals and stress the significance of a field's knowledge institutions and recent developments for the direction of future study.

Moreover, bibliographic databases like Scopus have advanced analytic tools to fully empower scholars to exploit the potential of accessible bibliographical data. Thus, according to Zakaria *et al.* [19] the most common bibliometric analysis indicators are publication classification, citations, authorship, publication impact, and country. Nonetheless, the bibliometric methodology is often divided into two forms of analysis: performance analysis and science mapping [17], evaluated using the number of citations or citations per year, total h-index or g-index, cite score, as well as other various matrices. The first deals with the productivity of individuals, institutions, and nations and the second deals with the prevalent themes in a scientific field. Notably, the ability to analyze publications' development, trend, or productivity by evaluating the quantity of publications in a specific research area.

Furthermore, to the contextual narrative, the current publications have emphasized the significance of entrepreneurship in the context of economic rehabilitation [20] and domestic economy entrepreneurial success [21] or a trigger of future entrepreneurial intentions [22]. Consequently, through multidisciplinary approaches toward the issues of "*entrepreneurship*" and "*SMEs*", The academic community's interest in interpreting these phenomena from the perspectives of various scientific areas has grown as a result of research activities, especially with the prevalence of the COVID-19 crisis. The continuous expansion in the quantity of research articles recognizes the need to approach the analysis of such publications from the standpoint of bibliographic analysis as an effective means of interpreting the current literary corpus. Thus, this paper intends to answer the following questions:

- Q1: *What is the current publication trend in entrepreneurship and SMEs amidst the COVID-19 crisis?*
- Q2. *What are the cooperation networks among various countries, journals and individuals in entrepreneurship and SMEs amidst the COVID-19 crisis?*
- Q3: *Which are the most influential articles on entrepreneurship and SMEs amidst the COVID-19 crisis?*
- Q4. *What are the potential future research directions of entrepreneurship and SMEs amidst COVID-19 crisis studies?*

Therefore, this article will provide an overview of the development of research on E&SMEs and present the current state of the art in this field. This paper aims to provide an overview of bibliometric analysis and prior research on papers linked to entrepreneurship and SMEs in the midst of the COVID-19 *crisis*, as well as to highlight trends from previous research and map them to the global evolution of the subject. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: First, describe the research techniques used in this article. Then, the analysis and results section present the information collected from

the Scopus database documents. Next, the discussion and implication. And finally, the conclusion section addresses the study's findings, limitations, and recommendations for future studies.

2. SAMPLE AND METHODOLOGY

The research sample was selected using data from Scopus, a database of scholarly articles. The exported data comprised complete bibliographic records, such as citation and bibliographical data, details about funding, the abstract, keywords, etc. The primary criteria for bibliographic unit research corresponded to scientific papers published between 2020 and 2022. The competitive nature of the Scopus bibliographic platform compared to other specialized databases was the major research subject of several scientific papers [23], more comprehensive time coverage of recorded units was emphasized as its most significant advantage [24]. The following keywords have been used to search relevant articles which is relevant to the topic namely "entrepre*" AND "COVID*" OR "crisis" OR "crises" OR "pandemic*" AND "SME*" OR "small busines*" OR "small compan*" OR "small enterp*" OR "small fir*" OR "small and mediu*" AND EXCLUDE (Pubyear, 2006) as it was not meet the criteria of the study purpose, which are contained in the title of the articles. This study concentrated on the titles of the articles since they indicate the related topic that is derived from the research subject and the study's purpose.

Furthermore, Ahmi *et al.* [17] explained in their study that the title of an article is the first thing a reader sees; therefore, it is essential to grab their attention with relevant information. Based on the query, the results of the given criterion amounted to 287 scientific papers, of which bibliographic data was retrieved on 15 June 2022. The most significant number of documents were written in English (273), while scientific documents in Russian (8), Spanish (6), and Malay (1) were also registered. When scrutinizing the bibliometric analysis, some tools are available to examine the data, such as (1) Microsoft Excel to calculate the frequencies of the published materials and to design the relevant chart and graph; (2) VOSviewer (www.vosviewer.com) to construct and to visualize the bibliometric networks; and (3) Harzing's Publish and Perish software to calculate the citations metrics and some of the other frequencies.

3. ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The analysis of extracted scholarly works includes document and source types, published by year and annual growth, subject area, keyword analysis, geographical productivity, authorship and citation analysis. Most of the findings are reported as a frequency and percentage. Meanwhile, annual growth data is presented as a number of retrieved documents per year, including their frequency, percentage, and cumulative percentage until 15 June 2022. Further, driven by citation analysis and citation metrics, the top ten cited articles in entrepreneurship and SMEs amidst the COVID-19 crisis were presented.

3.1 Document and Source Type

The collected data is first examined based on the document and source types. Document types denote the document based on its originality, such as conference papers, articles, or book chapters. In contrast, source types denote the type of source documents, such as journals, conference proceedings, book series, books, or book trade publications. The conference paper listed under the document type may differ from those listed under the source type [19]. A paper was given at a conference; for example, it will be classed as a conference paper under document type. Nonetheless, based on its publication status, the same document may be categorized as a full journal article, conference proceeding, or book chapter under source type. Table 1 summarizes the documents published on E&SMEs amidst the COVID-19 crisis, spread into 10 document types.

Table 1. Document type

| Document Type | Frequency | % (N=287) |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Article | 197 | 68.64% |
| Conference Paper | 40 | 13.94% |
| Book Chapter | 27 | 9.41% |
| Review | 9 | 3.14% |
| Book | 8 | 2.79% |
| Editorial | 2 | 0.70% |
| Conference Review | 1 | 0.35% |
| Data Paper | 1 | 0.35% |
| Letter | 1 | 0.35% |

| | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|
| Note | 1 | 0.35% |
| Total | 287 | 100 |

Moreover, driven from Table 1, the majority of documents were articles represented (68.64%) of the total publication compared to others as document types, as shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Document by type

Furthermore, as shown in Table 2, the documents were classified into five different source types, with journals making up the largest share (210 documents, or 73.17%), followed by conference proceedings (30 documents, or 10.45%), books (26 documents, or 9.06%), book series (20 documents, or 6.97%), and trade journals (1 document, or 0.35%) to the total number of the publications.

Table 2. Source types

| Source Type | Frequency | % (N=287) |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|
| Journal | 210 | 73.17% |
| Conference Proceeding | 30 | 10.45% |
| Book | 26 | 9.06% |
| Book Series | 20 | 6.97% |
| Trade Journal | 1 | 0.35% |
| Total | 287 | 100 |

Furthermore, Fig. 2 shows the most popular journals for E&SMEs amidst the COVID-19 crisis. The results show that the Journal Sustainability Switzerland with ten published documents (3.48%), the Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies with nine published documents (3.14%), and similarly, Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems with nine published documents (3.14%), these journals are the most significant journals in this field. Thus, such journals are recognized as a platform for sharing knowledge between different approaches.




Fig. 2. Document by journals

3.2 Publication by Year and Annual Growth

The first publication covered in the Scopus database, which fulfils inclusion criteria by containing key terms in the article title, dates back to June 2020. Called “*Surveying Entrepreneurs’ Perception of Society in Times of Corona: A proposal*,” this paper has been published in Survey Research Methods, which is the official peer-reviewed journal of the European Survey Research Association (ESRA) and was categorized as an article, written by Weinhardt and Bartosch [25]. With the aim of scientific production’s examination, Fig. 3 presents the growing trend in the number of “*entrepreneurship, SMEs and COVID-19 crisis*” publications by year, from the 2020 year, representing “*The rise of E&SMEs production*”. The publication number exported from bibliographic data indicates that “*The rise of E&SMEs production*” period was significantly more fruitful. As 160 papers were published in 2021 compared to 86 papers were published in 2022, and 41 papers were published in 2020. Besides, observing Fig. 3, 55.7% of the total number of observed publications was published during the year 2021, which clearly shows that the E&SMEs and the COVID-19 crisis topic is attracting considerable research attention in recent years.




Fig. 3. Number of published papers per year

3.3 Subject Area

In addition, Table 3 summarizes how the published documents in this study are categorized by subject area. Research on E&SMEs and the COVID-19 crisis emerges from various disciplines, ranging from business, management and accounting, economics, econometrics and finance, social sciences, engineering, etc.

Table 3. Subject area

| Subject Area | Frequency* | % (N=287) |
|--|------------|------------|
| Business, Management and Accounting | 172 | 59.93% |
| Economics, Econometrics and Finance | 97 | 33.80% |
| Social Sciences | 64 | 22.30% |
| Engineering | 34 | 11.85% |
| Computer Science | 32 | 11.15% |
| Decision Sciences | 24 | 8.36% |
| Environmental Science | 23 | 8.01% |
| Energy | 17 | 5.92% |
| Medicine | 15 | 5.23% |
| Earth and Planetary Sciences | 8 | 2.79% |
| Psychology | 7 | 2.44% |
| Mathematics | 6 | 2.09% |
| Materials Science | 5 | 1.74% |
| Multidisciplinary | 4 | 1.39% |
| Agricultural and Biological Sciences | 3 | 1.05% |
| Arts and Humanities | 3 | 1.05% |
| Chemistry | 2 | 0.70% |
| Physics and Astronomy | 2 | 0.70% |
| Chemical Engineering | 1 | 0.35% |
| Health Professions | 1 | 0.35% |
| Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics | 1 | 0.35% |
| Total | 287 | 100 |

*Some documents are categorized in multiple subject areas

Moreover, as shown in Table 3, most documents are in the business, management and accounting area with (59.93%) followed by the economics, econometrics and finance area with (33.80%), and social sciences with (22.30%) as shown in Fig. 4.




Fig. 4. Document by subject area

3.4 Keywords Analysis

The authors used VOS viewer, a software program for creating and visualizing bibliometric networks, to map the keywords provided for each document as part of the keywords analysis process (see Fig. 5 and Fig. 7). As shown in Fig. 5 VOS viewer-generated network visualization of the authors' keywords. The relative strength of the relationships between terms is represented by the color, circle size, text size, and thickness of connecting lines. Similar keywords tend to be grouped in the same cluster, as demonstrated by the use of the same color.




Fig. 5. Network visualization map of the author keywords

Moreover, a network visualization map can drive the potential future research directions of E&SMEs studies. For example, the diagram suggests that COVID-19, SMEs, entrepreneurial orientation, social media and e-commerce are closely related and usually co-occur together as the “Blue Cluster”. In the same vein, it is noticeable that sustainability, sustainable entrepreneurship, government and social entrepreneurship are closely relevant and usually co-occur together, such as in the “Turquoise Cluster”. Also, it is noticeable that dynamic capabilities, financial performance, competition, business development and entrepreneurial ecosystem and sustainable development are closely related to the “Red Cluster”.

3.5 Geographical Distribution of Publications

Researchers from 40 countries have contributed to the publication in the E&SMEs area. All countries contribute to the productivity of publications. Fig. 6 demonstrates the total publication document by the top countries, which indicates the United States is ranked first in productivity with 29 (10.10%) published documents.




Fig. 6. Publication documents by country

Furthermore, Fig. 7 presents a network visualization map of the citation by countries, which indicates that the United States, Indonesia, United Kingdom, India, Russian Federation and Malaysia were among the countries that received a huge number of citations in the field of E&SMEs studies.




Fig. 7. Network visualization map of the citation by countries

3.6 Citation Analysis

As of 15 June 2022, the retrieved documents' citation metrics are summarized in Table 4, which displays the total citations for all papers retrieved together with the average citations per year. According to the data, 287 retrieved articles have been cited 1067 times over two years (2020-2022), with an annual citation rate of 533.50.

Table 4. Citations metrics

| Metrics | Data |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Publication years | 2020-2022 |
| Citation years | 2 (2020-2022) |
| Papers | 287 |

| | |
|------------------|--------|
| Citations | 1067 |
| Citations/year | 533.50 |
| Citations/paper | 3.72 |
| Citations/author | 471.5 |
| Papers/author | 129.73 |
| h-index | 17 |
| g-index | 27 |

Moreover, the popularity and recognition of a document can be reflected by the number of times it is cited. Table 5 lists the top ten documents relevant to E&SMEs in terms of the author, year, number of citations and the average cites per year. One can see that the document entitled “*The impact of COVID-19 on small business owners: Evidence from the first three months after widespread social-distancing restrictions*” by Fairlie [26] produced the most influential document with the highest number of citations (85 citations or an average of 42.5 citations per year), followed by Brown and Rocha [27], Thorgren and Williams [28], Bacq *et al.* [29], Castro and Zermeño [30], Zhang *et al.* [31], Cepel *et al.* [32], Tsilika *et al.* [33], Beland *et al.* [34], and Sadiq *et al.* [35].

Table 5. Top 10 highly cited articles

| No. | Authors | Title | Year | Cites | Cites per Year |
|-----|-----------------------|---|------|-------|----------------|
| 1 | Fairlie | The impact of COVID-19 on small business owners: Evidence from the first three months after widespread social-distancing restrictions | 2020 | 85 | 42.5 |
| 2 | Brown and Rocha | Entrepreneurial uncertainty during the Covid-19 crisis: Mapping the temporal dynamics of entrepreneurial finance | 2020 | 80 | 40 |
| 3 | Thorgren and Williams | Staying alive during an unfolding crisis: How SMEs ward off impending disaster | 2020 | 65 | 32.5 |
| 4 | Bacq <i>et al.</i> | The COVID-19 Virtual Idea Blitz: Marshaling social entrepreneurship to rapidly respond to urgent grand challenges | 2020 | 55 | 27.5 |
| 5 | Castro and Zermeño | Being an entrepreneur post-COVID-19- resilience in times of crisis: a systematic literature review | 2020 | 46 | 23 |
| 6 | Zhang <i>et al.</i> | Impact of COVID-19 on China’s macroeconomy and agri-food system-an economy-wide multiplier model analysis | 2020 | 44 | 22 |
| 7 | Cepel <i>et al.</i> | The impact of the covid-19 crisis on the perception of business risk in the SME segment | 2020 | 30 | 15 |
| 8 | Tsilika <i>et al.</i> | Entrepreneurial bricolage in the aftermath of a shock. Insights from Greek SMEs | 2020 | 28 | 14 |
| 9 | Beland <i>et al.</i> | Short-term effect of COVID-19 on self-employed workers in Canada | 2020 | 28 | 14 |
| 10 | Sadiq <i>et al.</i> | Does green finance matter for sustainable entrepreneurship and environmental corporate social responsibility during COVID-19? | 2022 | 27 | 27 |

4. DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Policy Implication

In order to combat unfavorable economic situations, governments must establish policies and plans. Efforts should be made to enhance both the quantity and quality of startups, to learn the ins and outs of businesses, to back promising new ventures in their infancy, and to bring about a slow economic recovery. Various nations have used varying approaches in times of crisis. Rather than ignoring the unique circumstances of a crisis, a country should make some structural reforms

in light of its current status and progress. Governments could take novel responses to crises like COVID-19, such as encouraging the creation of internet-based businesses to help individuals cope with their difficulties. A crisis-stricken economy is further hampered by the limitations imposed by a shift in the institutional environment. For entrepreneurs to aid in economic recovery, governments must strengthen institutional conditions [12-13]. Improvements in access to capital for small and medium-sized businesses are one way that several European countries are encouraging entrepreneurship [36]. Thus, to better react to long-term crises, policymakers must address structural flaws, implement new commercial strategies, increase resilience, and strengthen institutional conditions through capital and other accessible resources.

4.2 Research Limitation and Future Research Direction

Despite the valuable insights provided by this paper, readers should still be aware of several limitations. To begin, this paper used particular queries/keywords to discover the initial list of scholarly works published as indexed by Scopus. Nonetheless, this method was prevalent in previous bibliometrics-related studies [17,19]. Although Scopus is one of the largest online databases indexing all scholarly papers, it still does not contain all sources available completely. As a result, some exclusions are to be expected from this paper. Moreover, no search query can fully contain all of the scholarly papers in this area. As a consequence, false positive and false adverse outcomes are always to be expected. Scopus' definition was used to estimate the ranking of authors in this study. Some authors may also enroll multiple names in Scopus or have their names spelled differently. Thus, it resulted in the inaccuracy of the productivity of their authorship and affiliation details. Despite these limitations, this work presents a unique look at E&SMEs and the COVID-19 crisis studies by presenting a more comprehensive, systematic, and objective evaluation. Previous qualitative review studies have mainly relied on subjective judgement, whereas a few quantitative review studies have merely described statistical data.

Moreover, given that the crisis affects the economy, this is a topic that is not only timely and significant but also crucial. Therefore, researchers, policymakers, and nations alike need to be prepared with tactics and strategies for dealing with tense situations, as there is no way to predict when or where a crisis will strike. Add to that, recent studies have demonstrated that entrepreneurship can mitigate crisis effects and help revive national economies and regional growth after a disaster, also, given the fact that SMEs play a critical part in today's business system as national economic engines that shape the backbone of the economy, there has been growing awareness of entrepreneurship and SMEs' significant contribution to a country's economic, social, and environmental outline. However, small business management is an applied field, and the managerial techniques designed for huge corporations are not always applicable to the needs of smaller businesses. That is why it is crucial to establish hypotheses, analyze data, make suggestions from small enterprises' perspectives, and modify the techniques and theories acquired from other domains. In order to accomplish these aims, researchers will need to understand the unique characteristics of small businesses, such as their size, resources, communication preferences, ownership structure, and corporate governance. Thus, there is a great deal of room for, and opportunity for, further study in the fields of entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises.

5. CONCLUSION

This study reviewed the available research in the field of E&SMEs in the heart of the COVID-19 crisis from 2020 to 2022. Using data visualization techniques, this study analyzed the characteristics of published publications in this area from various perspectives.

First, the study indicated the trend of previous studies using specified bibliometric indicators derived from the Scopus database. Overall, bibliometric details of 287 documents were extracted from the Scopus database. The results indicate that English becomes a primary language in about 95.12% of the retrieved documents. The data also shows a growing trend in the number of E&SMEs and the COVID-19 crisis publications as 55.7% of the total number of observed publications was published during the year 2021, which represents "*The rise of E&SMEs production*". Furthermore, issues pertaining to E&SMEs and the COVID-19 crisis get attention from diverse subject areas such as business, management and accounting, economics, econometrics and finance, social sciences, engineering, etc. Nevertheless, 172 or 59.93% of examined documents were classified under business, management and accounting.

Then, this paper discovered that there had been strong international exchanges and collaboration in the field of E&SMEs. Numerous citations in flipped E&SMEs research came from scholars in the United States, Indonesia, the United Kingdom, India, the Russian Federation, and Malaysia, respectively. Nonetheless, several European and Asian countries have significantly contributed to the E&SMEs subject through scholarly works.

Next, a complete examination of keyword characteristics was carried out in order to find hot subjects that change significantly throughout time. Co-occurrence analysis found that research hotspots primarily focus on the issues of "COVID-19," "*entrepreneurship*," "*entrepreneurial orientation*," "*crisis*," "*small business*," "*government*," "*social entrepreneurship*" and "*sustainable development*". The study supplements and extends on earlier reviews by conducting

co-citation and co-occurrence network analyses and presenting them in a comprehensive and transparent format. Moreover, quantitatively traced the evolution of E&SMEs and the COVID-19 crisis studies from 2020 to 2022. The study review, in fact, helps to highlight how the frontiers of the entrepreneurship and SMEs field evolve over time and give readers a better understanding of the rapidly developing body of work in entrepreneurship and SMEs development.

Reference

1. Xu Z, Wang X, Wang X, Skare M. A comprehensive bibliometric analysis of entrepreneurship and crisis literature published from 1984 to 2020. *Journal of Business Research*. 2021;135:304-18.
2. Pearson CM, Clair JA. Reframing crisis management. *Academy of management review*. 1998;23(1):59-76.
3. Carmeli A, Schaubroeck J. Organisational crisis-preparedness: The importance of learning from failures. *Long range planning*. 2008;41(2):177-96.
4. Trachsler T, Jong W. Crisis management in times of COVID-19: game, set or match?. *Journal of contingencies and crisis management*. 2020;28(4):485-6.
5. Mikušová M, Horváthová P. Prepared for a crisis? Basic elements of crisis management in an organisation. *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja*. 2019;32(1):1844-68.
6. Hunt A, Specht D. Crowdsourced mapping in crisis zones: collaboration, organisation and impact. *Journal of International Humanitarian Action*. 2019;4(1):1-1.
7. Holloway SL, Pimlott-Wilson H. Solo self-employment, entrepreneurial subjectivity and the security–precarity continuum: Evidence from private tutors in the supplementary education industry. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*. 2021;53(6):1547-64.
8. Belitski M, Guenther C, Kritikos AS, Thurik R. Economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on entrepreneurship and small businesses. *Small Business Economics*. 2022;58(2):593-609.
9. Doern R, Williams N, Vorley T. Special issue on entrepreneurship and crises: business as usual? An introduction and review of the literature. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*. 2019;31(5-6):400-12.
10. Maritz A, Perenyi A, De Waal G, Buck C. Entrepreneurship as the unsung hero during the current COVID-19 economic crisis: Australian perspectives. *Sustainability*. 2020;12(11):4612.
11. Khan RU, Salamzadeh Y, Kawamorita H, Rethi G. Entrepreneurial orientation and small and medium-sized enterprises' performance; does 'access to finance' moderate the relation in emerging economies?. *Vision*. 2021;25(1):88-102.
12. Nakku VB, Agbola FW, Miles MP, Mahmood A. The interrelationship between SME government support programs, entrepreneurial orientation, and performance: A developing economy perspective. *Journal of Small Business Management*. 2020;58(1):2-31.
13. De Sousa Jabbour AB, Ndubisi NO, Seles BM. Sustainable development in Asian manufacturing SMEs: Progress and directions. *International Journal of Production Economics*. 2020;225:107567.
14. Papadopoulos T, Baltas KN, Balta ME. The use of digital technologies by small and medium enterprises during COVID-19: Implications for theory and practice. *International Journal of Information Management*. 2020;55:102192.
15. Morrish SC, Jones R. Post-disaster business recovery: An entrepreneurial marketing perspective. *Journal of Business Research*. 2020;113:83-92.
16. Roth JC, Hoeltz M, Benitez LB. Current approaches and trends in the production of microbial cellulases using residual lignocellulosic biomass: a bibliometric analysis of the last 10 years. *Archives of microbiology*. 2020;202(5):935-51.
17. Ahmi A, Tapa A, Hamzah AH. Mapping of financial technology (FinTech) Research: A bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*. 2020;29(8):379-392.
18. Pritchard A. Statistical bibliography or bibliometrics. *Journal of documentation*. 1969;25:348.
19. Zakaria R, Ahmi A, Ahmad AH, Othman Z. Worldwide melatonin research: A bibliometric analysis of the published literature between 2015 and 2019. *Chronobiology international*. 2021;38(1):27-37.
20. Dias ÁL, Silva R, Patuleia M, Estêvão J, González-Rodríguez MR. Selecting lifestyle entrepreneurship recovery strategies: A response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*. 2022;22(1):115-21.
21. Lim CH, Teoh KB. Factors influencing the SME business success in Malaysia. *Annals of Human Resource Management Research*. 2021;1(1):41-54.
22. Shahzad MF, Khan KI, Saleem S, Rashid T. What factors affect the entrepreneurial intention to start-ups? the role of entrepreneurial skills, propensity to take risks, and innovativeness in open business models. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*. 2021;7(3):173.
23. Harzing AW, Alakangas S. Google Scholar, Scopus and the Web of Science: A longitudinal and cross-disciplinary comparison. *Scientometrics*. 2016;106(2):787-804.
24. Mongeon P, Paul-Hus A. The journal coverage of Web of Science and Scopus: A comparative analysis. *Scientometrics*. 2016;106(1):213-28.

25. Weinhardt M, Bartosch J. Surveying entrepreneurs' perception of society in times of corona: a proposal. *InSurvey Research Methods* 2020;14(2):147-151.
26. Fairlie R. The impact of COVID-19 on small business owners: Evidence from the first three months after widespread social-distancing restrictions. *Journal of economics & management strategy*. 2020;29(4):727-40.
27. Brown R, Rocha A. Entrepreneurial uncertainty during the Covid-19 crisis: Mapping the temporal dynamics of entrepreneurial finance. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights*. 2020;14:e00174.
28. Thorgren S, Williams TA. Staying alive during an unfolding crisis: How SMEs ward off impending disaster. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights*. 2020;14:e00187.
29. Bacq S, Geoghegan W, Josefy M, Stevenson R, Williams TA. The COVID-19 Virtual Idea Blitz: Marshaling social entrepreneurship to rapidly respond to urgent grand challenges. *Business Horizons*. 2020;63(6):705-23.
30. Castro MP, Zermeño MG. Being an entrepreneur post-COVID-19—resilience in times of crisis: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*. 2020;13(4):724-746.
31. Zhang Y, Diao X, Chen KZ, Robinson S, Fan S. Impact of COVID-19 on China's macroeconomy and agri-food system—an economy-wide multiplier model analysis. *China Agricultural Economic Review*. 2020;12(3):387-407.
32. Cepel M, Gavurova B, Dvorský J, Belas J. The impact of the COVID-19 crisis on the perception of business risk in the SME segment. *Journal of International Studies*. 2020;13(3):248-263.
33. Tsilika T, Kakouris A, Apostolopoulos N, Dermatis Z. Entrepreneurial bricolage in the aftermath of a shock. Insights from Greek SMEs. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*. 2020;32(6):635-52.
34. Beland LP, Fakorede O, Mikola D. Short-term effect of COVID-19 on self-employed workers in Canada. *Canadian Public Policy*. 2020;46(S1):S66-81.
35. Sadiq M, Nonthapot S, Mohamad S, Keong OC, Ehsanullah S, Iqbal N. Does green finance matter for sustainable entrepreneurship and environmental corporate social responsibility during COVID-19?. *China Finance Review International*. 2021;12(2):317-333.
36. Gherghina ŞC, Botezatu MA, Hosszu A, Simionescu LN. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): The engine of economic growth through investments and innovation. *Sustainability*. 2020;12(1):347.

UNDER PEER REVIEW