

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_91032
Title of the Manuscript:	A Survey of Entrepreneurship Education and Its Effect on Students' Engagement in Investment in School and after School
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Referring to the title, please decide what terminology that the author attempts to used. Engagement or Involvement? This is because both terms are used regularly in the whole manuscript. Based on the literature, engagement brings different meaning with involvement. 2. Referring to the title, it is suggested that the term 'investment' could be changed to 'business' to make it congruence with the entire manuscript. 3. Be consistent on the usage of UK English of US English. 4. Be consistent. Business venture or business activities? 5. Once the abbreviation is provided for Entrepreneurship Education as EEd; thus, please utilized the EEd throughout the whole manuscript. 6. Please check on the research objectives. How many research objectives? How many hypotheses? Please make it consistent with those three research hypotheses. Research Objectives: Therefore, this paper is to determine the level of involvement (engagement) by assessing the impact of entrepreneurship education (EEd) on students while in school having in mind the rate of involvement (engagement), their mindset to venture into business and the relationship between performance in EEd and involvement (engagement) in entrepreneurship (business enterprise). Also, to establish the impact of EEd on their decisions to invest in various sectors of the economy after graduation. Hypotheses: H₀₁: There is no difference between the proportion of graduates and undergraduates' students' participation in business venture while in school as a result of entrepreneurship education. H₀₂: There is no difference between the proportion of graduates and undergraduate students changing mindset to business venture while in school as a result of entrepreneurship education. H₀₃: The introduction of entrepreneurship course does not determine their participation in entrepreneurship/business activities while in school. 7. Please check. There are two version of H₀₁, H₀₂ and H₀₃ as presented in the manuscript. 8. Please clearly explain who are the respondents of this study? The current student of Federal Polytechnic Offa? Or the graduated students of Federal Polytechnic Offa? Please explain on the data collection. How the author reached all respondents? 9. Please provide the full terms of the abbreviation used for ND (National Diploma) and HND (Higher National Diploma). 10. Some of the citation is not listed at the references section. For example, Lo Choi Tung (2011). Please check for all citations. 11. Referring to the conclusion section, what is the basis of concluding this statement. No discussion on the non-business studies or business studies programs is provided in the previous section.' <i>The positive effect of entrepreneurship education (EEd) since it was introduced over a decade ago can be established in the sense that more students even in non-business studies programs are participating actively in entrepreneurship</i>'. 12. Referring to the recommendation section, please justify how can this recommendation is made? It is based on which research findings? <i>'A review of the EEd course content, both theory and practical, in HND to be much more different from that of ND'</i>. 13. Please check on the spelling, typing errors. 14. Please recheck the whole references section, make sure it follows the standard requirement of the journal. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ummi Naiemah Saraih
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia