

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_92989
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on Panchagavya: Ancient traditional knowledge in agriculture
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Sounds more like the theory/concept of a theme, rather than sounding like summarising the research findings of a theme.2. All portions (other than Introduction) are with rare reference quote (as it is a review article) in all other individual headings3. Very limited number of references only provided, indeed only a few mentioned. A shortage that shall be rectified for this manuscript.4. No standard format followed in Reference column5. Have not focused on other aspects of Panchagavya, other than the application side (could have included)	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Karpakavalli
Department, University & Country	College of Pharmacy, India