

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_92928</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Constraints in Adoption of clean and safe milking techniques by dairy farmers in Kerala :An assessment</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Manuscript</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b> The abstract should be beefed up adequately by incorporating a statement explaining the statement of the problem. In addition, should be devoid of sub-headings and in continuous prose. Statistics (some key findings) missing plus some conclusions and recommendations to make it more exciting.</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> Well written and captures the statement of the problem clearly though it has a number of punctuation errors. Look for another word(s) to replace "adoption behaviour" for this was just an assessment which different for in strict sense adoption studies. Remember adoption is a binary process i.e. either adopted or not.</p> <p><b>Materials and methods</b> Well documented and in line with the objectives.</p> <p><b>Results and discussion</b> No attempts made to discuss the results a very important component of a manuscripts. In results presentation, isolate and highlight key findings in text with statistics. Try to relate your work with others and also come up with prepositions explaining the scenario you found or lessons learnt from your results.</p> <p><b>Conclusions and recommendations</b> First deal with conclusions in a coherent manner and thereafter the recommendations bearing the farmers in mind.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Leonard Mwaura Mburu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kenyatta University, Kenya</b>