

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_92540
Title of the Manuscript:	Divergence study of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L) genotypes for consumer-preferred Quality traits.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. There is need to improve the English of the MS.2. In the introduction section "The Physicochemical properties of rice are determined based on amylose content, gel consistency and gelatinization temperature which is directly depend on cooking and eating qualities (Oko A. O. et al., 2012)." " In rice, eating and cooking qualities are mainly controlled by the physicochemical properties which greatly influence the consumer's affinity" Here both sentences give similar meaning and seems a repetition of the same sentence in two different ways. Which is not required and also not looks good.3. At several places spelling is not correct. Thoroughly check all the spellings, grammatical mistakes, and also the English of the manuscript have to improve.4. On what basis the genotypes were divided into different clusters is not mentioned clearly in the MS. Add the basis of cluster and the grouping of different genotypes in different clusters.5. It would be better if explain "Tocher's optimization method" in detail in the MS6. PC stands for what? Write the full form of the PC when it was used first time in the MS.7. References are not in one format. It would be better if the same pattern followed for all the references and that too according to the journal format	
Minor REVISION comments	The English of the manuscript needs to be improved. Authors may take help from any expert or nowadays various software's available for correcting the grammatical and other mistakes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suneha Goswami
Department, University & Country	Indian Agricultural Research Institute, India