

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_92404</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Will Certain Adjuvants Improve the Preemergence Activity of Pendimethalin or S-metolachlor?</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Results and Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Text is only about results. Discussion part (explanation or justification of results with cited literatures) is included under Conclusion. This can be revised to change 'Results and Discussion' to 'Results', change 'Conclusion' to 'Discussion', and formulate a new 'Conclusion' part which tells the overall findings, implication and recommendation.</li> <li>- Tables 2-4 could not be readily understood by readers who need to decipher the significance/non-significance of treatment mean differences by subtracting or adding the LSD values. It is advised to provide letter assignment to each treatment mean using the LSD values and provide a footnote in each table, to read – Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different based on LSD (0.05) or Mean separation w-thin columns by LSD (0.05).</li> <li>- In the Discussion part, Table 1 results could be harnessed to illustrate that despite differences in growing conditions, particularly soil factors, no marked differences were obtained in response to adjuvants; cite related literatures.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Need editorial check to correct typo errors. The title of the paper could also be improved.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Antonio L. Acedo Jr.</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of the Philippines Los Banos, Philippines</b>