

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_92299
Title of the Manuscript:	The contribution of farm woodlots to household income diversification in Kashmir Himalayas
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is contemporary and worth to be researched with positive social implications</p> <p>The abstract is adequate, it represents the paper in all its parts.</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction is adequate, clear, and streamlined. It is also supported with relevant and updated references. It serves the paper's objectives.</p> <p>Add how is this paper divided...</p> <p>The methodology is clear and adequate for this research. Sampling and sample size are explained well. Data analysis is also presented clearly</p> <p>Results are clearly represented in Tables and Figures and are sufficient to support the discussion and enrich the analysis.</p> <p>The discussion is adequate, clear, and streamlined. It is validated with suitable, relevant, and updated citations.</p> <p>The conclusion is clear. It is necessary to elaborate on the Limitations of the study and add future research</p> <p>Are there any limitations to the research???</p> <p>Future work????</p> <p>References follow the Journal's requirements.</p> <p>Minot editing is needed, especially pages 1-3.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Nil</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All the corrections/ modifications suggested by the Honorable Reviewer have been incorporated in the manuscript. - Thanks to the honorable referee for their valuable comments/suggestions for improvement of my manuscript.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon