

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_91713
Title of the Manuscript:	DIFFUSION AND AWARENESS OF WOMAN FRIENDLY FARM TOOLS & EQUIPMENTS AMONG THE FARMERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The research area is good, but there is more improvement in the introduction, methodology, results, and discussion parts. 2. This research topic is very attractive and exciting for the research work, but some theoretical and empirical aspects should be improved. Add more recent studies related to the research problems. Please add a review of the literature part. 3. Improve the introduction part as per the research topic because it only gives basic information about the scheme. 4. The methodology and data source part is not well written as per the standard format needs to improve. The methodology should be improved regarding the study's objective and clearly mention all statistical tools used by authors in the analysis part for the exam; Need each index to be rewritten by developing an Equation formula? 5. Mention the sample sizes, define them correctly and explain them. And add the Socio-economic Characteristic of Respondents table. 6. Improve the analysis part as per the research area and as per the study objectives. The author has given only an absolute number of information. 7. All results tables should be more explained regarding your research area and link to the results -Theoretical Proposition. 8. It may be possible authors should be incorporated in this study for some field interviews related to research problems. 9. After incorporating comments, Re-write the Conclusion and Suggestions. 10. After Improving the Introduction, Methodology, and Analysis of the data as per the objectives re-write the conclusion and suggestions of the study. 11. Finally Abstract should be improved; Re-write again. 12. References are not written as per the cited standard. <p>Improve the grammar</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandeep Kumar Baliyan
Department, University & Country	Giri Institute of Development Studies, India