

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_92307
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening for iron deficiency in early childhood using serum ferritin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Line 12-13 under Introduction : Please double check from the journal cited (reference 7) the recommended screening age for anemia; Do attach said journal article and highlight the AAP recommendation as mentioned in the paper for verification. 2. Line 9-13 under Discussion: Please provide plausible scientific,evidence-based explanation for the findings provided (i.e. why dose level of serum ferritin change in the different age groups mentioned...)	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Line 1 in Abstract: Serrum ferritinnon-invasive, inexpensive _____? Please fill in necessary noun , such as " screening tool"? 2. Line 5: ... than decreases or then decreases? 3. Line 6 of Abstract and Line 1 of conclusion: Serrum ferritin is a more reliable test... 4. Line 3 of Conclusion: ... "in which a maximum _____ (number?) of children with iron deficiency anemia was observed..." Please complete the statement... 5. In conclusion section, the use of But and So in the beginning of the statement is discouraged. Please try revising.	
Optional/General comments	What type of citation is being used? (Vancouver?). Please indicate in bracket if taken from internet and include the DOI for journals with DOI if following the Vancouver format.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joy A. David
Department, University & Country	Bicol Medical Center, Philippines