

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_93228
Title of the Manuscript:	Added value of Multi-slice CT Scan (320 Slice) in Evaluation of Cardiac Complications Post COVID-19 Infection
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract: Objective & Methodology section is missing, please added briefly2. Introduction: you should emphasize on the importance of Cardiac CT as added value for Thorax CT in detection of COVID-19 related cardiovascular complication3. Methodology: is the study design prospective cohort study or cross-sectional study? Is troponin level also measured in your study?4. Results: in table 2, you stated about regional wall motion abnormality, is it really dyskinesia or hypokinesia or akinesia? Please explain it clearly How did you measure intra- and inter- observer variability?5. Discussion: clear enough6. Conclusion: clear enough	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please kindly correct several grammatical errors and misspellings, it would be better to use professional proof language service2. Using chart or diagram instead of table would make the readers easier to understanding what points you explained	
Optional/General comments	This study is very interesting in term of COVID-19 related complication. I would suggest accepting this paper after the author(s) have addressed several minor issues	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ricardo Adrian Nugraha
Department, University & Country	Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia