

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_93190
Title of the Manuscript:	GASTRIC INSUFFLATION AND VENTILATORY MODE DURING INDUCTION OF ANESTHESIA: A PROSPECTIVE RANDOMIZED STUDY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is poorly written article. Language need lots of revision and correction. For example <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In introduction: The main disadvantage of mask ventilation is hyperventilation and not hypoventilation, and its aspiration of gastric contents not gastric fluid inhalation2. Exclusion criteria should include all full stomach patients3. Standard monitoring should include ETCO2, SpO24. Doses of fentanyl as analgesic and propofol are wrongly coated5. Propofol induction end point is loss of verbal response and not cilliart reflex6. The volume of the stomach is evaluated by the surgeon after introduction of the camera and qualified as low, medium or large, justifying the use of a gastric probe. Reference should be given on this point7. Exploitation of results is wrong English. It could be extrapolation8. Comparision of three group data cannot be done by student t test. Needs ANOVA9. Discussion needs to rewritten.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhawana Rastogi
Department, University & Country	Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, India