

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_92580
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximal Fibular Osteotomy in Treatment of Medial Unicompartmental Knee Osteoarthritis in Elderly Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Need minor changed</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. He must indicate grade of Osteoarthritis in Inclusion criteria.</li> <li>2. He must add up comments about Osteoarthritis of patellofemoral arthritis in inclusion criteria or exclusion criteria.</li> <li>3. Under heading of methods he must elaborate and hence conclude the exact location of osteotomy site rather than range 7 to 10 cm.</li> <li>4. Whether the varus deformity was rigid or flexible in patients included in study. So add this point.</li> <li>5. Tourniquet was used or not? Add in methodology.</li> <li>6. Does obesity with high BMI has impact in this procedure or whether kept in mind for inclusion criteria.</li> <li>7. Post op relief was in the form of decreasing painkillers or complete free of painkillers.</li> </ol> <p>I hope these above are minor changes and additions that would be more helpful for community and adding effectiveness of publication.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeed Taj Din
Department, University & Country	Azra Naheed Medical College, Pakistan