

ReviewForm1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_92452
Title of the Manuscript:	MRI CSF Flowmetry in Differentiation Between Normal Pressure Hydrocephalus and Involutional Brain Atrophy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study does not collect the complexity of NPH, an entity that now is considered a doubtful diagnosis and that usually reflects a neurodegenerative disorder more than a CSF dynamic disorder. Moreover, the control group that is used is not appropriate and it has different age ranges from the disease group. The study does not give data about response to lumbar puncture neither to postoperative evolution. Thus, the conclusion that CSF flowmetry can correlate with postoperative response is not based in any data.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adriano Jiménez-Escrig
Department, University & Country	Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, España