

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_92388
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL PRESENTATION AND TREATMENT OUTCOMES OF A RARE HEMATOLOGIC MALIGNANCY, SOLITARY PLASMACYTOMA: SINGLE CENTER EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	[Note 1] The sample size is small, but justified by the rarity of the disease and can be considered a more recent data generating study compared to the literature. [Note 2] In the abstract it could have been cited in the methodology what other data were compared and highlighted, as well as in the results the radiotherapy techniques that were employed. [Note 3] Because of the proximity of the spinal cord, the mean dose and PRV achieved between the radiotherapy techniques could have been mentioned, since 3D and IMRT have similarities, and therefore the planning time differs and requires greater precision.	
Minor REVISION comments	[Note 4] In using imaging methods (MRI, PET CT...) have been shown to be effective in post treatment evaluation.	
Optional/General comments	[Note 5] The standard treatment is radiotherapy and chemotherapy, in cases of disease progression, since the disease is rare, or in cases of late diagnosis, that is, in the worsening of the disease, what would change in the radiotherapy treatment plan? Management of this patient by the oncologist, radiotherapist and nuclear physician.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiago Victorino Claus
Department, University & Country	Franciscan University, Brazil