

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_92328
Title of the Manuscript:	Plasma Osteopontin Levels in Children with Pulmonary Hypertension Associated with Congenital Heart Disease
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title may be considered including the words diagnostic and prognostic with respect to osteopontin levels (Diagnostic and Prognostic Role of Plasma Osteopontin Levels in Children with Pulmonary Hypertension Associated with Congenital Heart Disease) 2. Complications of uncontrolled PAH needs to be explained in more detail. 3. The place of the study needs to be mentioned in the title. 4. Objectives of the study must be stated. 5. Study design and type of study needs to be mentioned. 6. Sampling method and Sample size calculation needs to be explained. 7. Statistical tests applied need to be mentioned. 8. Method of collecting data and storing the same needs to be mentioned. 9. A comparison of the markers of PAH is needed with respect to sensitivity, specificity, cost, and any other important parameters. 10. Implication of the study needs to be mentioned with relation to affordability and availability of the diagnostic and prognostic test. 11. The type of consent taken needs to be mentioned. 12. The number of investigators involved and the work shared should be mentioned. 13. Information regarding the calibration details of the Instruments/machines used for collecting data should be given. 	
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravi Shankar M
Department, University & Country	Adichunchanagiri University, India