

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_92319
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and risk factors associated with preterm birth at Irene-Neto Lubango Provincial Maternity- Huila /Angola
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The data for the 2nd objectives is missing in your result part Multinomial logistic regression and its interpretation table need to be revised 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Study period is not specified in methodology Sampling method should be explained properly Table and figure headings need to be revised Table should be followed by its interpretation; and author should specify the table/figure number in the interpretation p should be specified in small letter; for value 0.000 should be specified as p<0.001 Remove the word strong; as p-value in chi square denotes only whether association present or absent; it doesn't talk about the strength. Units for variables like height/weight etc should be specified wherever it is applicable Either table or graph is enough for representing the prevalence of prematurity. Delete the graph For table 5 and 6, percentages need to be added Remove the total in bivariate and multinomial regression table 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Grammatical error needs to be corrected 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Vijayalakshmi
Department, University & Country	Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Medical College and Hospital, India