

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_92205 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation Of Preputial And Buccal Mucosal Grafts In Two-Stage Repair Of Severe Proximal Hypospadias. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>An interesting topic. Authors should kindly observe the comments made in the text and kindly revise the manuscript to make it suitable for publication.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors should pay attention to grammar 2. There was non-use of statistical significance. This is important as the study involves a comparison of two techniques. 3. There should be description of the procedures used here (buccal mucosal graft harvest, preputial graft harvest, method of securing the grafts to the bed, etc) 4. Use of tables will be better to express the results of the findings. 5. The discuss should focus on the differences in outcomes between these two techniques of staged repair of proximal hypospadias. This was not elucidated in this study. 6. The references contained names and abbreviation of names of authors written beginning with small letters. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>Good article on an interesting and challenging childhood urology condition.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Ethical approval was obtained but there was no mention of informed consent obtained before commencement of the surgeries and for the use of the pictures from the parents or guardians.</p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | Ngwobia Peter Agwu |
| Department, University & Country | Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria |