

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_91843
Title of the Manuscript:	Foreign bodies of Airway: Our experience at a tertiary care center in Gujarat, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Reviewer's comment</p> <p>This manuscript described the diagnosis and treatment of foreign bodies of the airway in children at a tertiary care center in Gujarat, India. I could scan it, and this manuscript may be helpful to pediatric and ENT doctors.</p> <p>My suggestions and questions are as follows;</p> <p>#1. Contents that should be described in Discussion were mentioned in Observations and Results.</p> <p>1) These results are similar to the case series from the Nagoya city university</p> <p>2) In the Cohen et al. series, 50% of the cases were treated within 24 hrs. and 74% by 10 days [6]; 26% of the cases were treated between 10 days and one month, and the rest were more than one month. Studies by C. Jackson et al. [7] and Harboyan G. et al. [8]</p> <p>3) In the Dale G. Johnson series [9], coughing, choking</p> <p>4) Site of lodgment of the foreign body: literature citation should be discussed in the Discussion.</p> <p>These parts should be mentioned in the Discussion. Describe the Results section without the cited references.</p> <p>#2. Significant digits.</p> <p>The number of patients was 58. Accordingly, the second figure after the decimal point is meaningless. The most common age group between 1-2 years of age, 48.3%, is better than 48.27%. Please change the figures in the whole manuscript and Tables.</p> <p>#3. Conclusions: peanuts in children under three years old.</p> <p>I understand that parents should not give peanuts to children under three years old.</p> <p>The authors described that children should be cautiously given hard food items like seeds or nuts. How cautiously? A clear description is necessary.</p> <p>#4. Characteristics of airway foreign bodies in India.</p> <p>I hope to comment on the characteristics of foreign airway bodies in India. Reviews and comparisons of literature from India and other countries may be interesting.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tsutomu Nakashima
Department, University & Country	Nagoya University, Japan