

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_91841
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison between Loading Dose of Atorvastatin and Loading Dose of Rosuvastatin prior to Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patient with ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction for The Prevention of Contrast Induced Nephropathy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction. The authors need to provide a stronger rationale as to why the study was undertaken. 2. Introduction. A clear hypothesis to be tested in the study should be provided. 3. Methods/Results. The authors do not measure antioxidant properties of the statins? Please comment. 4. Discussion. The authors need to elaborate and emphasize their work as well as clinical relevance of their findings. 5. Discussion. Although sample size is small, does sex have any influence/role in the efficacy within group? 	
Minor REVISION comments	It would be better if the authors reword "insignificantly different" to "not statistically different" or "no differences" or "not different" , where appropriate, throughout the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paramjit Tappia
Department, University & Country	St. Boniface Hospital, Canada