

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_91449
Title of the Manuscript:	Telehealth Use and Models For Geriatric Patients Since The COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The purpose of the manuscript is to present a systematic review on the "Telehealth Use and Models for Geriatric Patients since the COVID-19 Pandemic". The topic is important, interesting and is in line with the scope of the journal. The applied research method is adequate for the study and well described. The statistics is good. The fact that the authors are honest and mention the limitations of their research is worth admiration. The study is interesting and the paper is worth accepting. Yet, some corrections are necessary: 1. Consider changing part of the text (re-phrasing) in order to remove duplication as the text in Table 1, column 9 "Results" and the texts, describing some of the studies in section "Results" immediately after the table are identical (example: the description of Ladin et al. (2021), Goldberg et al. (2022) ...	
Minor REVISION comments	2. Applying spell and grammar check will help to avoid spelling mistakes and typo errors, including missing commas, spaces, etc. (example: page 8, last line of the table "Staes" instead of "States") 3. Correct Fig. 1 – records excluded due to ineligibility – 281 instead of 271.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Malina Jordanova
Department, University & Country	Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Bulgaria