

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_91422
Title of the Manuscript:	ATYPICAL CLINICAL PRESENTATION OF CHILDHOOD OSTEOSARCOMA CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I thank all the authors for this rare and interesting case report. The work is sufficient in content but contains editing errors. My suggestions for the acceptance of the work are listed below: 1. Abstract: Very long and over 300 words. Abstract titles are out of order. Correct again according to the journal rules. References are used in the abstract; please remove the references. 2. There are too many grammatical errors. I recommend language editing. 3. The introduction and discussion contain repetitive literature information. Explain superficially in the introduction and compare it with your own case by detailing it in the discussion. 4. The image of the histopathological examination should be attached. 5. Conclusion should be rewritten. 6. In conclusion, general medical content and case report are sufficient. However, there are some deficiencies in the editing of the article.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soysal Bas
Department, University & Country	University of Health Science, Turkey