

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_90941
Title of the Manuscript:	Magnetic Resonance Myelography in Diagnosis of Chronic Low Back pain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>There are many grammatical and typographical errors in the manuscript which need to be addressed.</p> <p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Age – Add years as units The sentences are too long to follow. Pls modify Only mention the points where MR myelography offers greater advantage over routine T1,T2 and STIR sequences <p>Intro</p> <p>Why need myelography for low back pain patients? Purpose of the study is not clearly described</p> <p>Methods</p> <p>The inclusion and exclusion criteria are not clear.</p> <p>All patients with back pain had this sequence?</p> <p>What was the purpose of getting this in addition to the routine sequences?</p> <p>Study design?</p> <p>Results:</p> <p>Small sample size</p> <p>What was the additional % of patients where this additional sequence added greater benefit over the other sequences.</p> <p>Do the authors recommend only obtaining MRM sequences in patients with back pain?</p> <p>The discussion is not focused. A major part of discussion focuses on general issues regarding back pain. The focus may be directly on MRI in low back pain</p> <p>Is there any particular group of patients where MRM can be beneficial? Like lumbar stenosis or lumbar disc prolapse etc.</p> <p>The discussion needs to discuss literature on MRI in back pain patients</p> <p>The conclusion must be clearer and modified.</p> <p>The results do not correlate with the conclusions</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vibhu Krishnan Viswanathan
Department, University & Country	University of Calgary, Canada