

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_92790
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF ACUTE VIRAL HEPATITIS IN SYMPTOMATIC PATIENTS IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL OF NORTH INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	no	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Your conclusion must be based on your finding from your study area. Your abstract has no keywords <p>Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Your method part is very brief and not structured. <p>Discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> In first paragraph prevalence was not adequately discussed by comparing with higher and lower prevalence reports from other studies. In paragraph one in last sentence the author said "now the age group being infected with AVH has been shifted to adult population due to easy availability of vaccines and awareness among the population regarding the disease" how the availability of vaccine and awareness with in community lead to shift in transmission? In paragraph 3 the author said " these infections are associated with poor hygiene, lack of clean drinking water and inadequate sanitation" . How ? you didn't assessed the associated factors in your study. If it is explanation discuss it and please cite the source. In general discussion part is discussed by only using limited studies. Better to discuss using different study reports rather than using only similar 3 or 4 studies. <p>conclusion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> You have no appropriate conclusion based on your findings. <p>Reference</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Your references are recent and up to date. But, it is very few for original research articles. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milkiyas Toru
Department, University & Country	Debre Markos University, Ethiopia