

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_91155
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of pectinase production from <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> PSE-8 using cassava peels as substrate in submerged fermentation through Response Surface Methodology (RSM)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author mentioned that "a P-value that is less than 0.0500 showed a significant model term." Then the author mentioned "For this model, A and B2 were the significant model terms." What is the reason for this conclusion? The values for A and B2 are above 0.0500. The author mentioned "the highest pectinase production (117.5 U/ml) at pH9, temperature 45 C, incubation time 3 days, and cassava peel concentration 3% w/v" are the conditions of run 25. What is the optimal condition the author obtained from the design?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liyan Chen
Department, University & Country	USA