

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_93395
Title of the Manuscript:	MEAT QUALITY OF NOILER CHICKEN AS INFLUENCED BY DIETARY NATURAL ANTIOXIDANTS SUPPLEMENTATIONS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The current manuscript entitled "MEAT QUALITY OF NOILER CHICKEN AS INFLUENCED BY DIETARY NATURAL ANTIOXIDANTS SUPPLEMENTATIONS". This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset using cutting edge methodology. The paper is generally well written and structured. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In Abstract authors needs to maintain consistence in results and conclusion. 2. Introduction part required adequate modification with latest reference from standard journals. 3. To meet the journal standards, authors needs to improve the English language in entire manuscript. If possible check revise manuscript with English professionals. 4. Results and discussion should be different sections. Discussion part with latest suitable references is required. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The birds fed diets with green tea and roselle had significantly (P<0.05) low HDL. Since HDL is good cholesterol and components present in green tea is helpful to raise HDL level. But, in your study HDL levels were reduced. Authors must provide suitable justification with references. 2. Since Table 4 and 5 were related to organs and blood profiles, tables' replacement with bar graphs could be more understandable to readers. 	
Optional/General comments	Not applicable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Since manuscript involved with animal models. Ethical approval is mandatory and should mention the approval number in manuscript under "experimental animals and management in Material and methods section".	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramgopal Mopuri
Department, University & Country	Bharatiya Engineering Science and Technology Innovation University, India