

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_91242
Title of the Manuscript:	Water Quality Status Of Jatigede Reservoir Sumedang Regency, West Java
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I checked again and found the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The data was not analyzed statistically, so at least he/she should write the mean and p-value. - The title is not the covered content of the manuscript. - The introduction has not covered the topic. - Can you assess water quality by pH, temperature, Do, and light transparency? - In my view, we can't accept it as a manuscript because there are several mistakes like spelling, grammar and phrases. - Line 7, what do you mean is Ha, $\pm 4,122$ Ha. - Line 29, what do you mean (Bardach et al. 1972 in Riyadi et al. 2006). - Line 29-30, phrase (This is intended with the aim of seeing the quality of the Jatigede Reservoir in general). - Line 31, and 43 are repeated paragraphs. - Line 52 and 94, should be one format of measurement, for example, line 52. Table 2. Used mg L-1, while line 94, used mg/l. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 7, what do you mean is Ha, $\pm 4,122$ Ha. Line 29, what do you mean (Bardach et al. 1972 in Riyadi et al. 2006). Line 29-30, phrase (This is intended with the aim of seeing the quality of the Jatigede Reservoir in general). The introduction has not covered the topic. Line 31, and 43 are repeated paragraphs. Line 52 and 94, should be one format of measurement, for example, line 52. Table 2. Used mg L-1, while line 94, used mg/l. The data was not analyzed statistically, so at least he/she has to take the mean and P-value. Can you assess water quality by pH, temperature, Do, and light transparency? The title is not the covered content of the manuscript. In my view, we can't accept it as a manuscript because there are several mistakes like spelling, grammar, and phrases.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samiaa Jamil Abdulwahid Kurdi
Department, University & Country	Iraq